

**MFD Contracts and Subcontracts Subject to
the Wage Requirements Law
FY03 - FY09**

	FY03				
	Total #	MFD			
		Prime		Sub	
Solicitations subject to Wage Requirements Law	31	10	32%	2	6%
Contracts executed with a vendor-claimed exception	data not collected				
Contracts executed under the Wage Requirements Law	31	10	32%	2	6%

	FY04				
	Total #	MFD			
		Prime		Sub	
Solicitations subject to Wage Requirements Law	89	18	20%	21	24%
Contracts executed with a vendor-claimed exception	data not collected				
Contracts executed under the Wage Requirements Law	89	18	20%	21	24%

	FY05				
	Total #	MFD			
		Prime		Sub	
Solicitations subject to Wage Requirements Law	107	24	22%	23	21%
Contracts executed with a vendor-claimed exception	data not collected				
Contracts executed under the Wage Requirements Law	107	24	22%	23	21%

**MFD Contracts and Subcontracts Subject to
the Wage Requirements Law
FY03 - FY09**

	FY06 ¹				
	Total #	MFD			
		Prime		Sub	
Solicitations subject to Wage Requirements Law	184	22	12%	37	20%
Contracts executed with a vendor-claimed exception	46	1	2%	2	4%
Contracts executed under the Wage Requirements Law	138	21	15%	35	25%

¹ FY06 was the first year that exception data was tracked. Exceptions indicated reflect the last 7 months of the year.

	FY07				
	Total #	MFD			
		Prime		Sub	
Solicitations subject to Wage Requirements Law	330	46	14%	29	9%
Contracts executed with a vendor-claimed exception	181	14	8%	3	2%
Contracts executed under the Wage Requirements Law	149	26	17%	32	21%

	FY08				
	Total #	MFD			
		Prime		Sub	
Solicitations subject to Wage Requirements Law	523	35	7%	44	8%
Contracts executed with a vendor-claimed exception	386	8	2%	3	1%
Contracts executed under the Wage Requirements Law	382	27	7%	41	11%

MFD Contracts and Subcontracts Subject to
the Wage Requirements Law
FY03 - FY09

	FY09				
	Total #	MFD			
		Prime		Sub	
Solicitations subject to Wage Requirements Law	564	48	9%	45	8%
Contracts executed with a vendor-claimed exception	351	14	4%	4	1%
Contracts executed under the Wage Requirements Law	213	34	16%	41	19%

VENDOR-CLAIMED EXCEPTIONS TO THE WAGE REQUIREMENTS LAW

	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06 ²	FY07 ³	FY08	FY09
Solicitations subject to the Wage Requirements Law	31	89	107	184	330	523	564
Contracts with a vendor-claimed exception ¹	data not collected			46	181	333	351
Contracts executed under the Wage Requirements Law	31	89	107	138	149	190	213
<u>Exception Detail</u>							
Reason 1	data not collected			17	62	85	117
Reason 2				0	13	66	46
Reason 3				1	1	2	8
Reason 4				26	74	82	121
Reason 5				0	0	0	0
Reasons 1 and 2				1	27	94	48
Reasons 1 and 4				0	4	2	7
Reasons 2 and 4				1	0	1	1
Reasons 1, 2 and 4				0	0	1	2
CAO Waiver				0	0	0	1
Total				46	181	333	351

¹ Section 11B-33A (b) of the County Code permits vendors to claim exceptions to the Wage Requirements Law. They are listed on the Wage Requirements Certification form as follows:

Reason 1 - Vendor employs fewer than 10 employees.

Reason 2 - Vendor has received less than \$50,000 in the last 12 months and will receive less than \$50,000 in the succeeding 12 months.

Reason 3 - Vendor is a public entity.

Reason 4 - Vendor is a nonprofit organization under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Reason 5 - Vendor is expressly precluded from complying with the Wage Requirements Law by the terms of any federal or state law, contract or grant.

² FY06 was the first year that exception data was tracked. Exceptions indicated reflect the last 7 months of the fiscal year.

³ FY07 represents the first full year of reporting, by which time the vast majority of contracts in existence on July 1, 2003 (the effective date of the Wage Requirements Law), came up for renewal as part of the normal procurement cycle.